Learn why Glow

Color cues, memory, and meaningful practice

Evidence-informed design white paper · Version 1.1 · September 22, 2026

Prepared for Glow · MimesisIQ LLC

Color can make useful information easier to notice and connect. Glow applies that idea through consistent number colors and a soft halo around the learning task. The best-supported foundation for lasting learning remains an attempt to recall, useful feedback, and practice spread over time. Evidence for these principles is stronger than evidence for any particular glow effect. [1, 2, 4, 5]

A publisher-authored narrative synthesis for families and educators. This paper has not undergone independent peer review and reports no original trial of Glow.

1. What the evidence supports

A useful cue, a meaningful task, and another chance to remember.

A color cue highlights information that matters to the task. For example, keeping the same number in the same color can help a child find the selected number group. A decorative effect has a different purpose: it sets a mood or adds personality. Both can coexist, but looking appealing and producing durable learning are different outcomes.

The research reviewed here supports a design rationale, not a measured learning advantage for this product. None of the included studies tests Glow, its edge vignette, its particular palette, or the combination of its themes and feedback. We have no basis to assign a percentage improvement in reading or mathematics to Glow.

Our working hypothesis is that a restrained, consistent visual cue can make repeated practice easier to follow and more inviting. The learner still needs to attend to the numeral, operation, letters, or sounds and produce the answer. A color should help orient attention without becoming the answer itself.

This paper separates three levels of evidence: experimental findings about color and attention; research on recall, spacing, and beginning reading; and our proposed application of those findings. Experiments can support causal conclusions about their own conditions. Their results do not automatically transfer to a different age group, subject, or app.

Sources: [1], [2], [4], [5]. Full citations and links follow.

2. Color, attention, and memory

The meaning and placement of color matter more than simply adding more color.

Signaling across learning media [1]

Schneider et al. (2018): meta-analysis of 103 studies; 12,201 participants.

Cues highlighting relevant structure favored retention ($g = 0.53$; 95% CI 0.42-0.64) and transfer ($g = 0.33$; 95% CI 0.22-0.43).

Limit: Signals included more than color. These pooled results are not estimates for young children learning facts with Glow.

Color links between text and diagrams [2]

Ozcelik et al. (2009): 52 undergraduates, ages 19-27, assigned to color-coded or conventional instruction on chemical synapses.

Color coding improved retention and transfer; eye movements supported easier location of corresponding text and illustration content.

Limit: An adult multimedia task with a small sample. It does not test early reading, arithmetic, or soft glows.

Color in recognition memory [3]

Wichmann et al. (2002): five laboratory experiments using photographs of natural scenes.

Recognition benefited from natural color; changing between color and grayscale at study and test reduced performance. The benefit disappeared for falsely colored scenes.

Limit: Meaningful real-world scene colors differ from arbitrary number colors. This supports considering context and consistency, not a promise of better fact recall.

These studies concern different tasks and should not be combined into a single “color benefit.” Hedges g is a standardized difference between groups, not a percentage increase in learning. A confidence interval describes uncertainty in the estimated average; it does not predict the result for an individual child.

Design interpretation: keep the cue close to the relevant symbol and repeat its meaning consistently. The selected group color can orient the learner, while readable text carries the information. The evidence does not establish that a blurred halo is better than an outline, underline, or another equally clear cue.

Transfer deserves special attention. A student ultimately needs to answer an ordinary printed equation or read a word in a book. We therefore recommend occasional practice on plain paper or without the familiar colored context, rather than judging mastery from performance inside a single visual format.

Sources: [1], [2], [3]. Full citations and links follow.

3. Repetition that asks the learner to think

A familiar-looking answer is not the same as an answer a student can retrieve.

Practice distributed over time [4]

Cepeda et al. (2006): quantitative synthesis of 839 assessments from 317 experiments in 184 articles.

The most effective spacing depended on the delay until the final test; longer retention goals generally called for longer gaps.

Limit: A broad verbal-memory literature. It does not validate a specific Glow schedule or establish a color effect.

Multiplication flashcards in school [5]

Ophuis-Cox et al. (2023): 48 second graders; counterbalanced, within-student comparison; three spaced sessions per strategy.

Retrieving answers with flashcards produced greater fluency gains than chanting facts, at five minutes and one week. The time-by-strategy interaction was $F(2, 94) = 15.12$, $p < .001$, partial eta squared = .24.

Limit: Small classroom study of multiplication. It compared practice methods, not colors. The effect statistic is not a 24% improvement.

Fact recall in primary school [6]

Ritchie et al. (2013): experiments enrolling 109 and 209 children, ages 8-12, learning geographical facts.

Retrieval benefits were observed after four days in the first experiment and after one and five weeks in the second.

Limit: The first result depended on covariate adjustment; the second was more robust. The second analysis included 186 children with both follow-ups. These were geography facts, not sight words.

In retrieval practice, the learner tries to produce an answer before seeing it. In restudy, the answer remains available. A useful flashcard routine is to think or say the answer first, reveal it, then compare. Tapping immediately through a deck may show all the content without providing the same opportunity to retrieve it.

Spacing means returning after a gap instead of concentrating all encounters into one sitting. The evidence supports distributed practice, but it does not specify one ideal session length for every child or a single optimal interval for every goal. [4]

Our application: invite a short, manageable return to familiar facts on later days. Give enough support for a child to succeed after an error, and revisit the item later. Glow provides practice opportunities; this paper does not claim that its current sequencing is a validated adaptive spacing algorithm.

Sources: [4], [5], [6]. Full citations and links follow.

4. Applying the idea to math facts

Use the glow as a place to look; use the equation as the thing to learn.

In the current math app, each number group keeps a stable hue across themes. The group numeral remains visible, and ordinary equation numerals have readable ink. A surrounding vignette echoes the group color. These are implementation choices intended to provide continuity; their educational effect has not been measured.

For a student practicing 2 x 3, the color of the 2 can identify the current group while the student works out two groups of three. On a later encounter with 2 x 4, the shared cue may help the student recognize the practice context. It cannot supply the relationship between the operands or replace understanding what multiplication means.

The same principle can accompany addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. Keep the operation sign explicit. After an answer, a local visual response can mark the result, while written guidance communicates what to do next. We treat the inner and outer glow feedback as interface feedback, not as evidence of a special memory mechanism.

Suggested family or classroom routine: explain one example with counters, a drawing, or a number line; let the student attempt a small set; discuss an error; then return to some of those facts on another day. Mix in ordinary spoken or printed equations to check that the learning carries beyond the app. This is practical guidance, not a prescribed research-tested Glow dosage.

Speed is only one possible outcome. A child who answers correctly by reasoning is showing something different from a child who guesses quickly. Practice points, streaks, and achievements describe activity within the app; they are not standardized measures of mastery or proof that color caused learning.

Sources: [5]. Full citations and links follow.

5. Applying the idea to sight words

Support attention to print, while keeping letters, sounds, and meaning central.

Beginning reading needs sound-print instruction [7]

Ehri et al. (2001): meta-analysis of 66 treatment-control comparisons from 38 experiments.

Systematic phonics instruction had an overall effect of $d = 0.41$ on reading compared with unsystematic or no-phonics controls.

Limit: This supports the instructional foundation, not a color treatment. A preferred theme is not a substitute for teaching sound-spelling relationships.

A word read "by sight" is recognized automatically. That goal should not be reduced to remembering the color or overall silhouette of a card. For English beginning readers, instruction needs to connect print with spoken language. Color can be a supplementary aid to that work. [7]

A possible Glow sight-word application is a stable highlight on the letter group being taught, with the complete word remaining readable. For example, a teacher might draw attention to sh in ship while saying its sound, then ask the child to blend and read the word. This is a design proposal, not a feature or outcome validated by the studies in this paper.

Whole-card color can identify an activity or set, but should not tell the child which word to say without looking at the letters. Avoid treating every word as an unrelated colored picture. Include practice in ordinary print and short sentences, and help the student explain or use the word so that recognition connects to meaning.

Repeated attempts should follow instruction appropriate to the word and the learner. Where a spelling is unusual, explicitly teach the relevant sound-spelling relationship. If a student can name a familiar colored card but cannot read its uncolored word elsewhere, the cue has not yet led to the intended transfer.

The cited literature does not establish that arbitrary whole-word colors or a glowing border improve sight-word acquisition. A controlled study of that specific application is still needed.

Sources: [7]. Full citations and links follow.

6. Keep the cue helpful and accessible

Leave visual space around the work.

More stimulation is not necessarily better. In a laboratory-classroom experiment, kindergarten children were more distracted and learned less during science lessons with heavily decorated walls than with sparse walls. This was a study of classroom displays, not an app, but it is a useful caution against assuming that every extra visual feature helps. [8]

Our design recommendation is to concentrate emphasis on the current task, keep decoration away from the answer, and avoid competing pulses or flashing. A child should be able to choose a comfortable theme without changing the meaning of the number colors. A neutral option is valuable when a more elaborate theme feels distracting.

Color must be redundant with readable numerals, words, symbols, and text feedback. Some users cannot reliably distinguish particular hues. As an accessibility benchmark, WCAG 2.2 requires that color not be the only visual carrier of meaning; it sets minimum text contrast of 4.5:1 for ordinary text and 3:1 for qualifying large text. These web criteria inform our design review, but citing them is not a claim of audited app compliance. [9]

Evaluate contrast against the actual surface behind a character, including the brightest part of a glow. Check both appearances, large text, VoiceOver, and reduced-motion preferences. If a cue is harder to see or uncomfortable, it is not serving that learner, regardless of its visual appeal.

This paper does not attribute a universal learning benefit to a particular hue, match children to “visual learning styles,” or claim a treatment for dyslexia, ADHD, or other learning differences. Those claims are outside the evidence reviewed here.

Sources: [8], [9]. Full citations and links follow.

7. Scope, methods, and interpretation

A transparent evidence trail, not a systematic review.

This targeted narrative review was prepared on September 22, 2026. Searches used web discovery of publisher articles, PubMed/PMC records, an author-hosted paper, and the W3C standard. Search concepts included color coding and multimedia learning; signaling meta-analysis; color recognition memory; distributed practice; retrieval practice and multiplication; beginning reading and phonics; and visual distraction in children.

We selected directly relevant experiments and original quantitative syntheses, plus an accessibility standard. We checked author, year, title, and reported findings against the sources linked below. Full texts were consulted where available; some summaries rely on publisher or indexed abstracts, as identified in the reference access notes. No new participant data or pooled estimates were produced.

This is not an exhaustive search, a registered systematic review, or a formal risk-of-bias assessment. Selection may miss relevant or conflicting findings. Older foundational studies help explain mechanisms, while age, task, setting, sample size, and intervention differences constrain application. Publication bias and variation between studies further limit what any average effect can promise.

The publisher has a commercial interest in Glow. The interpretation and proposed product applications are the publisher’s synthesis, not endorsements by the cited researchers. References concern their original research or standard; none should be read as an endorsement of this app.

For families and educators, the practical conclusion is modest: use clear, consistent cues to support the task; give the learner a chance to retrieve; return over time; and check learning when the cue is absent. Glow’s educational case rests on these practices, with the specific added value of its glow remaining an open research question.

Sources: [1], [4], [5], [7]. Full citations and links follow.

References

Nine sources. Study findings and product interpretation are kept separate.

[1] Schneider, S., Beege, M., Nebel, S., & Rey, G. D. (2018). A meta-analysis of how signaling affects learning with media. Educational Research Review, 23, 1-24.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.11.001

Source consulted: Publisher abstract and article preview. Original meta-analysis; signaling includes multiple cue types.

[2] Ozcelik, E., Karakus, T., Kursun, E., & Cagiltay, K. (2009). An eye-tracking study of how color coding affects multimedia learning. Computers & Education, 53(2), 445-453.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.03.002

Source consulted: Publisher abstract and author-hosted article proof. Randomized multimedia experiment with adults.

[3] Wichmann, F. A., Sharpe, L. T., & Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2002). The contributions of color to recognition memory for natural scenes. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 28(3), 509-520.

https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.28.3.509

Source consulted: Publisher abstract. Laboratory scene-recognition experiments; not a reading or math intervention.

[4] Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (2006). Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis. Psychological Bulletin, 132(3), 354-380.

https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.3.354

Source consulted: PubMed abstract of the original quantitative synthesis.

[5] Ophuis-Cox, F. H. A., Catrysse, L., & Camp, G. (2023). The effect of retrieval practice on fluently retrieving multiplication facts in an authentic elementary school setting. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 37(6), 1463-1469.

https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.4141

Source consulted: Open-access full text. Classroom experiment; the design and analysis were not preregistered.

[6] Ritchie, S. J., Della Sala, S., & McIntosh, R. D. (2013). Retrieval practice, with or without mind mapping, boosts fact learning in primary school children. PLOS ONE, 8(11), e78976.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078976

Source consulted: Open-access full text via PMC. Two classroom experiments with geographical facts.

[7] Ehri, L. C., Nunes, S. R., Stahl, S. A., & Willows, D. M. (2001). Systematic phonics instruction helps students learn to read: Evidence from the National Reading Panel’s meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 71(3), 393-447.

https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543071003393

Source consulted: Publisher abstract of the original meta-analysis. Evidence for phonics, not for color cues.

[8] Fisher, A. V., Godwin, K. E., & Seltman, H. (2014). Visual environment, attention allocation, and learning in young children: When too much of a good thing may be bad. Psychological Science, 25(7), 1362-1370.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614533801

Source consulted: Publisher and PubMed abstracts. Laboratory-classroom experiment with kindergarten children.

[9] World Wide Web Consortium. (2024). Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.2. W3C Recommendation, December 12, 2024. Success Criteria 1.4.1 and 1.4.3.

https://www.w3.org/TR/2024/REC-WCAG22-20241212/

Source consulted: Primary accessibility standard. A design benchmark, not an educational efficacy study.